



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/509,377	08/28/2000	Sergey Matasov		9553

7590  
Sergey Matasov  
Ranka Dambis 7/1 55  
Riga, LV1048  
LATVIA

03/13/2008

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/509,377	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MATASOV, SERGEY	
	<b>Examiner</b> John P. Leubecker	<b>Art Unit</b> 3739	

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--**

The Appeal Brief filed on 12 December 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☐ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☒ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

An Advisory Action has been sent (2/29/08) to address the non-entry of the amendments filed May 9, 2007. In order to make the Appeal Brief proper, the Appeal Brief must be filed with a correct copy of the claims on appeal. A correct copy would include a clean copy of the claims AS THEY APPEAR in the amendment filed August 5, 2005. A clean copy means that language that is lined through (intended to be deleted) does not appear in the claim. Language that is underlined in this set of claims would be included, minus the underlining itself. Thus, the claims on appeal and appearing in the correct copy of the claims would include claims 1, 4, 5, 10-13, 15, 17, 18, 21 and 22. Applicant should take this opportunity to correct the status of claims in Section 3 of the Appeal Brief as well. The status would be: Claims 1, 4, 5, 10-13, 15, 17, 18, 21, 22 are rejected and under appeal; claims 2, 3, 6-9, 14, 16, 19, 20 are canceled (it is noted that, because of the confusing and unorthodox nature of the prosecution, the Examiner erroneously stated that the status of claims 4, 5, 10, 11, 13, 15, 21 and 22 was "canceled"; the Examiner apologizes for the mistake). In addition, the Status of Amendments (Section 4 of the Brief) should reflect that fact that the amendments filed after final (May 9, 2007) have not been entered.

/John P. Leubecker/  
Primary Examiner, AU 3739